

- -

(Zingiber officinale)

0.5, 1, 3%

20 - 40

2.5%

2% - 2.5%

1.5% - 2.5%

Effect of Ginger on Organoleptic Characteristics and Prolonging the Duration of Conservation of Laboratory Biscuit

Salim Salih AL-Timim

Department of Home Economic- College of Education for Women

Abstract

The study was conducted to show the influence of ground ginger (*Zingiber officinale*) in the numbers of bacteria and the duration of conservation of laboratory biscuit. ginger was added at proportions of 0.5, 1.0 and 3%, and one treatment was left without addition and considered as control, and then an organoleptic evaluation was carried out for the biscuit product. bacteriological tests were conducted for the treatments before storage ,and then they were stored at room temperature (20-40), and the bacteriological tests were carried out monthly and up to six months of storage. The results showed no significant differences in organoleptic characteristics among the various treatments with exception of flavor value, which was increased in proportion that was proportional to the proportion of the added ginger and up to 2.5% , Then, the values were decreased due to the appearance of ginger bitter taste. the results also showed a gradual decrease in total numbers of aerobic bacteria, in rates that paralleled the increased proportion of the added ginger in the treatments as compared with control. the addition of ginger at a proportion of 2.0 -2.5% was more effective in decreasing the numbers of aerobic bacteria, to become within the allowed limits before and after storage the addition of 2-2.5% was more effective in decreasing their numbers of molds to be within the allowed limits before and after storage.

(15)

(1)

Toxicity

(20) (18)

(19) (17).

(10) (9)

(16).

(19)

(10).

تصنيع البسكت المختبري:

Baking powder 100 (%70)
³ 73.6 22.7 2.7 4.9
 % 3 , 2.5 , 2 , 1.5 , 1 , 0.5

(6)
 ()
 :
 .1
 .2
 .3
 .4
 .5
 0.5
 5
 1.5-1 Spatula .6
 12

:

40-20

()

:

50

450

%70

7

%0.1

9

1

10 :1

10-8 10-1

:

(5)

: Total Plate Count (T.P.C.)

Nutrient Agar

48 -24 37

300-30

:

Potato Dextros Agar (PDA)

Malt Extract Agar (MEA)

15

2

/

15

121

%10

4.5 -4

500

0.01

100 Chloromphenicole

500 Chlorotetracyclin

2

100

5-7

27

:Sensory evaluation

/
10

(7)

:(1)

(8)

:(1)

7	
7	
7	
7	
7	
7	
7	
42	

7 1

7

*

= 1

= 2

= 3

= 4

= 5

= 6

=

(2)

P<0.05

A

A4

6.5

5.7

(%2

)

A6

4.6

%2

5.4

: (2)

(42)							%	
34 a	5.6 a	6.0 a	5.7 a	5.6 a	5.6 ab	5.5 abc		A
34.5 a	5.8 a	6.0 a	5.7 a	5.6 a	5.7 ab	5.7 abc	0.5	A1
35.8 a	5.8 a	6.1 a	6.1 a	5.9 a	6.0 ab	abc 5.9	1	A2
36.3 ab	6.1 a	5.8 a	6.4 ab	6.0 a	ab 6.0	6.0 abc	1.5	A3
ab 36.7	6.2 a	6.0 a	6.5 ab	6.1 a	5.8 ab	6.1 abc	2	A4
ab 36.2	6.2 a	6.2 a	5.6 ab	6.1 a	6.0 ab	6.1 abc	2.5	A5
a 35.1	6.2 a	6.2 a	4.6 ab	6.1 a	6.0 ab	6.0 abc	3	A6

P<0.05

(10)

%6 4.5 3

%3

A

40-20

(3)

:(3)

6

(42)							%	
31.3 ab	5.2 a	5.2 ab	5.3 ab	5.1 ab	5.3 ab	25 abc		A
34.3 a	5.8 a	6.0 a	5.7 a	5.6 a	5.7 ab	5.5 abc	0.5	A1
35.5 a	5.8 a	6.1 a	6.1 a	5.9 a	6.0 ab	abc 5.6	1	A2
36.2 ab	6.1 a	5.8 a	6.4 ab	6.0 a	6.0 ab	5.9 abc	1.5	A3
ab 36.4	6.2 a	6.0 a	6.5 ab	6.1 a	5.8 ab	5.8 abc	2	A4
ab 35.7	6.2 a	6.2 a	5.6 ab	6.1 a	6.0 ab	6.5 abc	2.5	A5
ab 33.0	6.2 a	6.2 a	4.6 ab	6.1 a	6.0 ab	5.9 abc	3	A6

P<0.05

(3 2)

A

31.3

34

36.4

A4

A4

(3) (4).

:
(4)

$\times 28.6$ / . . 103×4.2 / . . 103

103×4.2 (0.5)
/ . . 103×6.7

/ . . 103×1.2

103 (2) / . . $\times 8.4$

%1
/ . . 103×1.5
/ . . 103×5.5
%1.5

. 103×3.7 / . . 103×2.1

2% / .

/ . . 103×1.3

%2.5

%3 2.5

:(4)

$10^3 \times (\quad / \quad . \quad . \quad)$							()
%						control	
3	2.5	2	1.5	1	0.5		
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
-	-	-	-	-	-	4.2	
-	-	-	-	-	-	6.7	
-	-	-	-	-	1.2	8.3	
-	-	-	-	1.5	2.1	13.8	
-	-	-	2.1	2.8	4.7	17.1	
-	-	1.3	3.7	5.5	8.4	28.6	

(19) (11)

Staph. aureus, E. coli,

Bacillus Spp., Salmonella Spp.

:

(5)

%0.5

/ $10^3 \times 6.9$ $10^3 \times 4.4$

/ $10^3 \times 2.1$

/ $10^3 \times 11.8$

%1

/ $10^3 \times 2.7$

/ $10^3 \times 8.7$

1.5%

$10^3 \times 5.9$ / $10^3 \times 2.5$

2% /

/ $10^3 \times 1.3$

%2 %3 2.5

$10^3 \times 5$ (2)

. /

:(5)

$10^3 \times$						control	()
%3	%2.5	%2	%1.5	%1	%0.5		
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
-	-	-	-	-	-	4.4	
-	-	-	-	-	-	6.9	
-	-	-	-	-	2.1	9.2	
-	-	-	-	2.7	5.7	14.6	
-	-	-	2.5	4.8	7.4	18.8	
-	-	1.3	5.9	8.7	11.8	30.3	

(12)

Aspergillus Spp., *Eurotium Spp.*, *Penicillium Spp.*

(13)

(14)

%4

1. (2006) .

2. (2000) .3725

3. (1975) .

4. (1985) .

5. A. P. H. A. (1992). American Public Health Association. Compendium of Methods for The Microbiological Examination of Food, 3thed., Washington, D. C., New Yourk.

6. Campbell, A. M.; Penfield, M. P. and Griswold, R. M. (1979). The Experimental Study of food. 2nd ed., Houghton Mifflin Company. Boston.
7. Department of Food and Nutrition, College of Home Economics. (1975). Food Science Manual. K-State Union Book Store, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS, U.S.A.
8. Duncon, D. B. (1955). Multiple Range Multiple F Test. Biometrics. 1: 1-42.
9. Ekwenye, U. N. and Elegalam, N. N. (2005). Antibacterial activity of ginger (*Zingiber officinale* Roscoe) and garlic (*Allium sativum* L.) extracts on *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella typhi*. Journal of Molecular Medicine and Advanced Science. 1(4): 411-416.
10. Federica, B.; Emiliano, C.; GianGaetano, P. and Santina, R. (2011). Evaluation of antioxidant, rheological and sensorial properties of wheat flour dough and bread containing ginger powder. Food Science and Technology. 44(3): 700-705.
11. Gupta, S. and Ravishankar, S. (2005). A comparison of Antimicrobial Activity of Garlic, Ginger, Carrot and Turmeric Against *E. coli* O 157:H7 in Ground Beef. Food Borne Pathog. Dis. 2(4): 330-340.
12. Guynot, A.; Ramos, J.; Seto, L.; Purroy, P.; Sanchis, V. and Marín, S. (2003). Antifungal activity of volatile compounds generated by essential Oils against fungi commonly causing deterioration of bakery products. Journal of Applied Microbiology. 94(5): 893-899.
13. Guynot, A. J.; Ramos, L.; Seto, P.; Purroy, V.; Sanchis, S. and Marín, S. (2005). Screening for Antifungal Activity of Some Essential Oils Against Common Spoilage Fungi of Bakery Products. Food Science and Technology International. 11(1): 25-32.
14. Lopez P.; Sanchez, C.; Batlle, R. and Nerín, C. (2007). Development of Flexible Antimicrobial Films Using Essential Oils as Active Agents. J. Agric. Food Chem. 55(21): 8814-8824.
15. Marin, S.; Guynot, A.; Neira, P.; Bernado, M.; Sanchis, V. and Ramos, A. J. (2002). Risk assessment of the use of sub-optimal levels of weak-acid preservations in the control of mould growth on beakery products. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 79(3):v203-211.
16. Masood, S. B. and Tauseef, S. (2011). Ginger and its Health Claims: Molecular Aspects. Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition. 51(5): 383-393.

17. Nelson, C. and Reginald, A. O. (2007). Antimicrobial properties of extracts of *Allium cepa* (Onions) and *Zingiber officinale* (Ginger) on *Escherichia coli*, *Salmonella typhi* and *Bacillus subtilis*. The Internet Journal of Tropical Medicine. 3(2).
18. Oiye, S. O. and Muroki, N. M. (2002). Use of Spices in food. The Journal of Food Technology in Africa. 7: 39-44.
19. Onyeagba, R. A.; Ugbogu, O. C.; Okeke, C. U. and Iroakasi, O. (2004). Studies on the antimicrobial effects of garlic (*Allium sativum* Linn), ginger (*Zingiber officinale* Roscoe) and lime (*Citrus aurantifolia* Linn). African Journal of Biotechnology. 3(10): 552-554.
20. Weng, X. C. and Wang, W. (2000). Antioxidant activity of compounds isolated from (*Salvia plebeia*). Food Chemistry. 71: 489-493.